

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20201 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/911,050	07/23/2001	James L. Bullington	ORT-1477	3229	
27777 7	590 03/26/2002				
AUDLEY A. CIAMPORCERO JR.			EXAMINER		
	N & JOHNSON PLAZA		ROBINSON	ROBINSON, BINTA M	
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1625		
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/911,050	BULLINGTON ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Binta M. Robinson	1625				
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r she t with the corr spondenc addr ss Period for Reply						
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any rearne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repropers of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the place of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the place	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	Paspansive to communication(s) filed on						
1) <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is FINAL .	——· his action is non-final.					
3)	<i>/</i> _		natters prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	on of Claims Claim(s), 1.63 is/are pending in the application	n					
	Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-53 and 59-63</u> is/ar		eration				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	e williami nom consid	eration.				
·	Claim(s) <u>54-58</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
	on Papers						
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

ڼک

Art Unit: 1625

Detailed Action

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefore ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to I identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1 –51, 53, 55, 56, 57, 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-51, 53, 60, 61, 62, and 63, respectively of prior U.S. Patent No. 6291454 (See Reference A) This is a double patenting rejection. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re*

Art Unit: 1625

Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-53 and 59-63 should be withdrawn from further consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 (b) as being drawn to non-elected inventions. Applicants could advance the prosecution of this application by canceling these claims in a subsequent response.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 54-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, does not reasonably provide enablement for the method of treating all diseases in claims 56 and 58, many of which are unrelated. The diseases claimed cover such a broad spectrum of diseases that are so unrelated. One drug can not treat various diseases with different mechanisms. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to

Art Unit: 1625

use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The claims as recited are broader than the scope of enablement.

The applicant is referred to *In re Wands*, 858 f.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988) which includes the incorporation of the 8 factors recited in *Ex parte* foreman 230 USPQ 546 (Bd. Of App. And Inter 1986).

Claim(s) 55 in part is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention. Inhibiting the onset of a disorder whose alleviation is mediated by the reduction of calcium ion influx into cells is a mechanism. The disease being treated by this inhibition is not stated. The specification must contain one practical utility in currently available form. The inhibition of an enzyme must be related to a disease that needs to be improved and this disease needs to be recited. There is no reasonable assurance that these compounds will have all of the alleged properties or have the applicants supplied the supporting data. The applicant is referred to *In re Fouche* 169 USPQ 429 ccpa, 1971, MPEP 716.02 B. The applicant is referred to *In re Wands*, 858 f.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988) which includes the incorporation of the 8 factors recited in *Ex parte Foreman* 230 USPQ 546 (Bd. Of App. And Inter 1986).

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 54-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because they are incomplete entities. They must have specific compounds so that the metes and bounds of a monopoly grant can be ascertained.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binta M. Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5437. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on (703)308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7922 for regular communications and (703)308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0193.

Binta Robinson

March 25, 2002

SILPERINSORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

alan L Rothran

Page 5